<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Motion/Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move to approve the agenda. <em>(Shulha: passed)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move to approve the 2006 minutes. <em>(Yarbrough: passed)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move to approve the financial report. <em>(Caruthers: passed)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move to accept the committee reports <em>(Yarbrough: passed)</em>&lt;br&gt;Annual Chair Report&lt;br&gt;Subcommittee Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move that the statement on diversity be adopted. <em>(Johnson: passed)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move to accept the personnel evaluation standards statements and move forward with the ANSI approval process. <em>(Yarbrough: passed)</em>&lt;br&gt;(These standards statements were considered individually, and changes can be found on the attached page.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move that the Executive Committee appoint a work group to examine and integrate all suggestions and amendments to the body of the personnel evaluation standards at their discretion, providing periodic feedback to the Joint Committee members. <em>(Yarbrough: passed)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Work group to respond accordingly</td>
<td>Move that the work group obtain the review and suggestions regarding diversity and/or cultural competence issues for the case studies, consider them, and send a written response to the Joint Committee regarding what changes were incorporated. <em>(Johnson: passed)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move to confirm continuation of <em>The Student Evaluation Standards</em> for the next five years. <em>(Yarbrough: passed)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move that the 2008 Joint Committee meeting be held from September 25-27, 2008, in or around Washington, DC. <em>(Shulha: passed)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move that <em>The Program Evaluation Standards</em> be affirmed for another two years. <em>(Shulha: passed)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move that the current slate of associate members (Jeff Grann, Edith Gummer, Rodney Hopson, Barbara Howard, Don Klinger, Jack Sanders, Todd Rogers, Elaine Van Melle, Neil Vadeboncoeur) and an additional associate, Amy Germuth, be invited to serve on the Research Forum Committee for the 2007-08 year. (Shulha: passed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
<td>Move that Steve Henry be nominated to replace Elmima Johnson on the executive committee. (Shulha: passed) Moved that nominations be closed. (Caruthers: passed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>To be voted on at 2008 annual meeting</td>
<td>Move that the executive committee be empowered to put forward the plan for the student evaluation standards revision. The plan will be shared with the entire JCSEE via e-mail and will be voted on at next year’s annual meeting. (Yarbrough: passed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Diversity statement to be added to revised <em>The Personnel Evaluation Standards</em></td>
<td>Move that the diversity statement be placed in the back (appendices) of <em>The Personnel Evaluation Standards</em> book and become a part of every JCSEE public document. (Caruthers: passed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Diversity Committee to report back at the 2008 JCSEE annual meeting</td>
<td>Move that the implementation of the activities as stated in the diversity statement be monitored by the diversity subcommittee and reported back to the Joint Committee (Johnson: passed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Motion tabled until 2008 annual meeting</td>
<td>Move that all members request an annual membership fee of $150 from their sponsoring organizations (Dorrington: tabled)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Move to adjourn the meeting. (Braden: passed)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The meeting adjourned on Saturday, September 29, at 12:15 p.m.
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name  Richard Barbacane  NAESP

Affirmative

Negative with reason/comment:

Abstain
Name: Carrie L. Billy, American Indian Higher Education Consortium (Oct. 1, 2007)

---

____ Affirmative

XX Affirmative with comment: My phone line was disconnected during the discussion on the final standard, Accuracy (beginning midway through A3). However, I believe I participated in the overall discussion sufficiently to vote in the affirmative, and I do not believe that A4-A6 could have been changed so significantly as to result in a changed vote. Thank you for allowing me to participate by conference call.

---

____ Negative with reason/comment:

---

____ Abstain
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name __________ Rolf Blank, CCSSO ______________________________________

___X___ Affirmative

___ Affirmative with comment:

______________

______________

______________

___ Negative with reason/comment:

______________

______________

___ Abstain
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name: Jeff Braden

☑️ Affirmative

Affirmative with comment:

____________________________

____________________________

____________________________

____________________________

☐ Negative with reason/comment:

____________________________

____________________________

____________________________

____________________________

☐ Abstain
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name: Flora Carothers

X  Affirmative

Affirmative with comment: ______________________________________________________
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................

Negative with reason/comment: _________________________________________________
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................
..........................................................................................................................

Abstain
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name: Adeiane E. S. DoBriNgton

☑️ Affirmative

☐ Affirmative with comment: ________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

☐ Negative with reason/comment: ____________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

☐ Abstain
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name ____________________________

Paula Egelson

X

_____ Affirmative

_____ Affirmative with comment: _____________________________________________

__________________________

__________________________

__________________________

_____ Negative with reason/comment: _______________________________________

__________________________

__________________________

__________________________

_____ Abstain
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name

Affirmative

Affirmative with comment:

Negative with reason/comment:

Abstain

Due to new appointment to committee.
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name  Stephan A. Henry (AERA)

X  Affirmative

Affirmative with comment: __________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________

Negative with reason/comment: _________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________

Abstain
BALKET TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name: Brigitte Maicher

☑️ Affirmative

☑️ Affirmative with comment: Excellent document

☐ Negative with reason/comment:

☑️ Abstain
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name: Patricia Jo McDougal

✓ Affirmative

☐ Affirmative with comment: ________________________________

☐ Negative with reason/comment: ________________________________

☐ Abstain
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name: Kathy Playmert, NASP

X  Affirmative

___  Affirmative with comment: ______________________________

__________________________
__________________________
__________________________

___  Negative with reason/comment: _________________________

__________________________
__________________________
__________________________

___  Abstain
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name: Lyn Shulha

[ ] Affirmative

[ ] Affirmative with comment: ________________________________

[ ] Negative with reason/comment: ________________________________

[ ] Abstain
BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name Don YARBROUGH

___ Affirmative

X Affirmative with comment: will send suggested revisions (edits, etc.) on Monday

___ Negative with reason/comment: 

___ Abstain
From: "Johnson, Elmima C." <ejohnson@nsf.gov>
To: Arlen Gullickson <arlen.gullickson@wmich.edu>, Sally Veeder <sally.veede... 
Date: 10/2/2007 3:42 PM
Subject: AEA Vote on Personnel Standards

CC: Susan Kistler <susan@eval.org>, Hazel Symonette <symonette@bascom.wisc.edu>

BALLOT TO APPROVE
THE REVISED PERSONNEL EVALUATION STANDARDS
SEPTEMBER 2007

Name Elmima C. Johnson

___ Affirmative

X___ Affirmative with comment: Elmima Johnson, representing the American Evaluation Association, affirms the Personnel Evaluation Standards with the proviso that the following areas of concern be addressed:

* That the name and introductory material of the Personnel Standards book be revised to reflect its exclusive focus on educational personnel evaluation.

* That the case studies be revised to incorporate attention to cultural diversity factors in the discussion of case scenarios. The Diversity Review of the Personnel Standards Case Studies that was prepared by the Diversity Committee identifies (a) possible cases in which to incorporate a discussion of the ways that ethnicity, age gender, culture, etc. may impact the evaluation process, and (b) ways in which an evaluator may respond to such factors. We seek neither to force considerations of culture into every case nor to relegate such issues to a single case that becomes the ‘diversity’ example, but rather to see diversity and culture incorporated where appropriate across the range of cases just as they come into play across evaluation contexts.

* That the Personnel Standards be revised to attend to issues of expectations and ethics. That is,

Expectations: P5 - Comprehensive Evaluation - It is incorrect to assume that all personnel have weaknesses that must be noted and addressed. (U6 offers a similar line of logic.) Revisions in the language of these and other standards with similar flaws were recommended in the group discussion.

Ethics and Relativism: P7 - Legal Viability provides no defense against unethical local laws or institutional policies. A5, A9 and A10 are further examples of standards that do not take into consideration the possibility that ethical practice may conflict with local personnel laws, standards, and/or policies. We ask that the issue of ethics, as an overriding concern that trumps laws and policies, be incorporated into the standards to clarify that should laws or policies be unethical, the evaluator should act in a way that is, first and foremost, ethically defensible. This includes identifying in the report
those laws and/or policies that may conflict with ethical practice and their impact on the outcome of the evaluation.

______ Negative with reason/comment:

______ Abstain